Potential estimates for solutions to quasilinear elliptic problems with general growth and regularity consequences

Iwona Chlebicka

& Flavia Giannetti, Yeoghun Youn, Anna Zatorska-Goldstein

MIMUW @ University of Warsaw

Workshop: Regularity for nonlinear diffusion equations. Green functions and functional inequalities Universidad Autónoma de Madrid 16.06.2022

1 of 35

We study

$$-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu$$
 in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with bounded measure  $\mu$  and Carathéodory's function  $\mathcal{A}$  having Orlicz growth with respect to the second variable.

We study

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu$$
 in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with bounded measure  $\mu$  and Carathéodory's function  ${\cal A}$  having Orlicz growth with respect to the second variable.

Solutions can be unbounded, but we can control them precisely by a certain potential and infer local properties such as Hölder continuity.

We study

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu$$
 in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with bounded measure  $\mu$  and Carathéodory's function  ${\cal A}$  having Orlicz growth with respect to the second variable.

Solutions can be unbounded, but we can control them precisely by a certain potential and infer local properties such as Hölder continuity. SCALAR:

*C., Giannetti, Zatorska–Goldstein*, Wolff potentials and local behaviour of solutions to measure data elliptic problems with Orlicz growth, arXiv:2006.02172

We study

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu$$
 in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with bounded measure  $\mu$  and Carathéodory's function  ${\cal A}$  having Orlicz growth with respect to the second variable.

Solutions can be unbounded, but we can control them precisely by a certain potential and infer local properties such as Hölder continuity.

#### SCALAR:

*C., Giannetti, Zatorska–Goldstein*, Wolff potentials and local behaviour of solutions to measure data elliptic problems with Orlicz growth, arXiv:2006.02172

#### VECTORIAL:

*C., Youn, Zatorska–Goldstein*, Wolff potentials and measure data vectorial problems with Orlicz growth, arXiv:2102.09313

C., Y., Z.–G., Measure data systems with Orlicz growth, arXiv:2106.11639



Δ

 $-\Delta u = \mu$ 

3 of 35

#### Δ

 $-\Delta u = \mu$ 

### $-\Delta_p u = \mu, \quad 1$



 $-\Delta u = \mu$ 

$$-\Delta_p u = \mu, \quad 1$$

more!

3 of 35

# Aim

Precise transfer of (local) regularity from data to solutions to  $-\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu$ .

A function  $u \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$  is called a weak solution to a problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
  
if  $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) \cdot D\phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mu(x) \quad \text{for every } \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega).$ 

A function  $u \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$  is called a weak solution to a problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$
  
if  $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) \cdot D\phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mu(x) \quad \text{for every } \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega).$ 

It's too restrictive for arbitrary data!

1

A function  $u \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$  is called a weak solution to a problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

if 
$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) \cdot D\phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mu(x)$$
 for every  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ 

It's too restrictive for arbitrary data!

Weak solutions are too restrictive, distributional solutions can be wild... :(

1

C

A function  $u \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$  is called a weak solution to a problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{if} \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) \cdot D\phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mu(x) \quad \text{for every} \ \phi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega).$$

It's too restrictive for arbitrary data!

Weak solutions are too restrictive, distributional solutions can be wild... :(

...but they can also be almost nice!

# Measure data problems with power growth

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu, \qquad 1$$

### Measure data problems with power growth

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu, \qquad 1$$

Already for  $-\Delta_p u = \delta_0$  in B(0,1) we deal with the so-called fundamental solution

$$G(x) = c_{n,p} \left( |x|^{rac{p-n}{p-1}} - 1 
ight) ext{ if } 1$$

which does not belong to  $W_0^{1,p}(B(0,1))$ , for small p, but we like it!

### Measure data problems with power growth

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu, \qquad 1$$

Already for  $-\Delta_p u = \delta_0$  in B(0,1) we deal with the so-called fundamental solution

$$G(x) = c_{n,p} \left( |x|^{rac{p-n}{p-1}} - 1 
ight) ext{ if } 1$$

which does not belong to  $W_0^{1,p}(B(0,1))$ , for small p, but we like it!

One may study various kids of very weak solutions:

SOLA (Boccardo&Gallouët '89), renormalized solutions (DiPerna&Lions '89, Boccardo, Giachetti, Diaz, Murat '93), entropy solution (Bénilan, Boccardo, Gallouët, Gariepy, Pierre, Vazquez, Murat '95), or (Kilpeläinen, Kuusi, Tuhola-Kujanpää '11) *A*-superharmonic functions.

Be careful: if  $1 , then it is possible that <math>u \notin W_{loc}^{1,1}$ .

We study

$$-\mathrm{div}\mathcal{A}(x,Du)=\mu,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) \cdot \xi \simeq G(|\xi|)$ 

We study

$$-\mathrm{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) \cdot \xi \simeq G(|\xi|) \Leftarrow$  here  $G \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ , e.g.  $G_{p,\alpha}(s) = s^p \log^{\alpha}(1+s), 1 .$ 

We study

$$-\mathrm{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) \cdot \xi \simeq G(|\xi|) \Leftarrow$  here  $G \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ , e.g.  $G_{p,\alpha}(s) = s^p \log^{\alpha}(1+s), 1 .$ 

Scalar problem

We study

$$-\mathrm{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) \cdot \xi \simeq G(|\xi|) \Leftarrow$  here  $G \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ , e.g.  $G_{p,\alpha}(s) = s^p \log^{\alpha}(1+s), \ 1 .$ 

#### Scalar problem

 $\mu$  is a bounded measure,  $\mathcal{A}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a monotone Carathéodory's function,  $G \in C^1((0,\infty))$  is a nonnegative, increasing, and convex function such that  $G \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$  and

$$\begin{cases} c_1^{\mathcal{A}} \mathsf{G}(|\xi|) \leq \mathcal{A}(x,\xi) \cdot \xi, \\ |\mathcal{A}(x,\xi)| \leq c_2^{\mathcal{A}} \mathsf{g}(|\xi|), \end{cases}$$

where g is the derivative of G.

7 of 35

### Who is called 'a solution'?

#### $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonicity

A <u>continuous</u> function  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,G}(\Omega)$  is an *A*-harmonic function in an open set  $\Omega$  if it is a (weak) solution to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = 0$ .

#### $\mathcal{A}$ -super/subharmonicity

We say that a lower semicontinuous function u is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic if for any  $K \Subset \Omega$  and any  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic  $h \in C(\overline{K})$  in K,  $u \ge h$  on  $\partial K$ implies  $u \ge h$  in K (u is  $\mathcal{A}$ -subharmonic if (-u) is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic).

## Who is called 'a solution'?

#### $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonicity

A <u>continuous</u> function  $u \in W^{1,G}_{loc}(\Omega)$  is an *A*-harmonic function in an open set  $\Omega$  if it is a (weak) solution to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = 0$ .

#### $\mathcal{A}$ -super/subharmonicity

We say that a lower semicontinuous function u is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic if for any  $K \Subset \Omega$  and any  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic  $h \in C(\overline{K})$  in K,  $u \ge h$  on  $\partial K$ implies  $u \ge h$  in K (u is  $\mathcal{A}$ -subharmonic if (-u) is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic).

#### An A-superharmonic function

- is defined everywhere,
- can be unbounded,
- can be identified with a distributional solution to a measure data problem.

# Who is called 'a solution'?

#### $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonicity

A <u>continuous</u> function  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,G}(\Omega)$  is an *A*-harmonic function in an open set  $\Omega$  if it is a (weak) solution to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = 0$ .

#### $\mathcal{A}$ -super/subharmonicity

We say that a lower semicontinuous function u is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic if for any  $K \Subset \Omega$  and any  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic  $h \in C(\overline{K})$  in K,  $u \ge h$  on  $\partial K$ implies  $u \ge h$  in K (u is  $\mathcal{A}$ -subharmonic if (-u) is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic).

#### An A-superharmonic function

- is defined everywhere,
- can be unbounded,
- can be identified with a distributional solution to a measure data problem.

This guy we want to 'control by a potential' and prove its regularity.

#### Potential estimate in the linear case 1/2 Global case

If u solves  $-\Delta u = \mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(x, y) \, d\mu(y)$$

with Green's function

$$\mathcal{G}(x) = \frac{c_n}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \quad \text{if } n > 2,$$

#### Potential estimate in the linear case 1/2 Global case

If u solves  $-\Delta u = \mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(x, y) \, d\mu(y)$$

with Green's function

$$\mathcal{G}(x) = \frac{c_n}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \quad \text{if } n > 2,$$

so it can be estimated as follows

$$|u(x)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} rac{d|\mu|(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} =: \mathrm{I}_2(|\mu|)(x) \quad \Leftarrow \mathsf{Riesz} \ \mathsf{potential}$$

## Potential estimate in the linear case 2/2

Local behaviour of solutions to  $-\Delta u = \mu$ 

Localized/trucated Riesz potential of a nonnegative measure

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{2}^{\mu}(x,R) &:= \int_{0}^{R} \frac{|\mu|(B_{\varrho}(x))}{\varrho^{n-2}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \lesssim_{n} \int_{B_{R}(x)} \frac{d|\mu|(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{d|\mu|(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} = \mathbf{I}_{2}(|\mu|)(x) \quad \Leftarrow \text{Riesz potential} \end{split}$$

Then locally

 $|u(x)| \leq C\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\mu}(x,R) + `sth \, not \, that \, much \, important'
ight).$ 

## Potential estimate in the linear case 2/2

Local behaviour of solutions to  $-\Delta u = \mu$ 

Localized/trucated Riesz potential of a nonnegative measure

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{2}^{\mu}(x,R) &:= \int_{0}^{R} \frac{|\mu|(B_{\varrho}(x))}{\varrho^{n-2}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \lesssim_{n} \int_{B_{R}(x)} \frac{d|\mu|(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{d|\mu|(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} = \mathbf{I}_{2}(|\mu|)(x) \quad \Leftarrow \text{Riesz potential} \end{split}$$

Then locally

 $|u(x)| \leq C\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\mu}(x,R) + `sth \, not \, that \, much \, important'
ight).$ 

### Potential estimate in the power growth case

 $-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu \text{ for } 1$ 

Expecting

 $|u(x)| \leq C \left( \mathcal{W}_p^{\mu}(x, R) + \text{'sth}(u, R) \text{ not that much important'} \right),$ 

we have to employ another potential

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x,R) = \int_{0}^{R} \left(\frac{|\mu|(B_{\varrho}(x))}{\varrho^{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d\varrho$$

called Wolff potential (similar ones were considered by Havin & Maz'ya).

### Potential estimate in the power growth case

 $-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu \text{ for } 1$ 

Expecting

 $|u(x)| \leq C \left( \mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x,R) + \text{'sth}(u,R) \text{ not that much important'} \right),$ 

we have to employ another potential

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{\rho}(x,R) = \int_{0}^{R} \left( \frac{|\mu|(B_{\varrho}(x))}{\varrho^{n-1}} \right)^{rac{1}{\rho-1}} d\varrho$$

called Wolff potential (similar ones were considered by Havin & Maz'ya). For p = 2 we are back with Riesz potential.

### Potential estimate in the power growth case

 $-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu \text{ for } 1$ 

Expecting

 $|u(x)| \leq C \left( \mathcal{W}_p^{\mu}(x, R) + \text{'sth}(u, R) \text{ not that much important'} \right),$ 

we have to employ another potential

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x,R) = \int_{0}^{R} \left(\frac{|\mu|(B_{\varrho}(x))}{\varrho^{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d\varrho$$

called Wolff potential (similar ones were considered by Havin & Maz'ya). For p = 2 we are back with Riesz potential.

Kilpeläinen & Malý ['92,'94] proven that for  $\mu \ge 0$  we actually have  $\mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x, R) \lesssim u(x) \lesssim \mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x, 2R) + 'sth(u, R)'$ 

next proofs: Trudinger & Wang [2002] and Korte & Kuusi [2010]

### **Estimates for scalar** A-superharmonic functions Theorem by C, Giannetti, Zatorska-Goldstein, arXiv:2006.02172

Assume that u is a nonnegative function being  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic and finite a.e. in  $B(x_0, R_W) \Subset \Omega$  for some  $R_W$ ,  $\mu_u$  is generated by u and g = G'. Let (Havin-Mazy'a-)Wolff potential be given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{G}^{\mu_{u}}(x_{0},R) = \int_{0}^{R} g^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_{u}(B(x_{0},r))}{r^{n-1}}\right) dr.$$

### **Estimates for scalar** A-superharmonic functions Theorem by C, Giannetti, Zatorska-Goldstein, arXiv:2006.02172

Assume that u is a nonnegative function being  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic and finite a.e. in  $B(x_0, R_W) \Subset \Omega$  for some  $R_W$ ,  $\mu_u$  is generated by u and g = G'. Let (Havin-Mazy'a-)Wolff potential be given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{G}^{\mu_{u}}(x_{0},R) = \int_{0}^{R} g^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_{u}(B(x_{0},r))}{r^{n-1}}\right) dr.$$

Then for  $R \in (0, R_W/2)$  we have

$$C_L\left(\mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)-R\right) \leq u(x_0) \leq C_U\left(\inf_{B(x_0,R)} u(x) + \mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)+R\right)$$

with  $C_L, C_U > 0$  depending only on parameters  $i_G, s_G, c_1^A, c_2^A, n$ .

### **Estimates for scalar** A-superharmonic functions Theorem by C, Giannetti, Zatorska-Goldstein, arXiv:2006.02172

Assume that u is a nonnegative function being  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic and finite a.e. in  $B(x_0, R_W) \Subset \Omega$  for some  $R_W$ ,  $\mu_u$  is generated by u and g = G'. Let (Havin-Mazy'a-)Wolff potential be given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{G}^{\mu_{u}}(x_{0},R) = \int_{0}^{R} g^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_{u}(B(x_{0},r))}{r^{n-1}}\right) dr.$$

Then for  $R \in (0, R_W/2)$  we have

$$C_L\left(\mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)-R\right) \leq u(x_0) \leq C_U\left(\inf_{B(x_0,R)} u(x) + \mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)+R\right)$$

with  $C_L, C_U > 0$  depending only on parameters  $i_G, s_G, c_1^A, c_2^A, n$ .

\* Similar upper bound was proven by **Malý** in 2003 for A-superminimizer.

12 of 35

# Consequences

#### **Quick remarks**

- The result is sharp as the same potential controls bounds from above and from below.
- Let  $u \ge 0$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic, finite a.e.,  $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ . Then u is continuous in  $x_0 \iff \mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x, r)$  is small for  $x \in B_{x_0}(r)$ .

# Consequences

#### **Quick remarks**

- The result is sharp as the same potential controls bounds from above and from below.
- Let  $u \ge 0$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic, finite a.e.,  $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ . Then u is continuous in  $x_0 \iff \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mu_u}(x, r)$  is small for  $x \in B_{x_0}(r)$ .

#### **Orlicz version of Hedberg–Wolff Theorem**

Let  $\mu$  be a nonnegative bounded measure compactly supported in bounded open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\mu \in (W_0^{1,G}(\Omega))' \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_G^{\mu}(x,R) \, d\mu(x) < \infty \text{ for some } R > 0.$$
#### **Fundamental solution**

for operators of Zygmund growth

Suppose that  $1 , <math>\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  separated from zero, and u is a nonnegative A-superharmonic function in  $\Omega$ , such that

$$-\mathrm{div}\mathcal{A}(x,Du) = -\mathrm{div}\left(a(x)|Du|^{p-2}\log^{\alpha}(e+|Du|)Du\right) = \delta_{0}$$

in the sense of distributions. Then

$$c^{-1}|x|^{-\frac{n-\rho}{p-1}}\log^{-\frac{\alpha}{p-1}}(e+|x|) \le u(x)$$
  
$$\le c\left(|x|^{-\frac{n-\rho}{p-1}}\log^{-\frac{\alpha}{p-1}}(e+|x|) + \inf_{B(x,2|x|)}u\right).$$

#### Lorentz spaces

We define the decreasing rearrangement  $f^*$  of a measurable function  $f:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f^*(t) = \sup\{s \ge 0 \colon |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) > s\}| > t\},$$

the maximal rearrangement by

$$f^{**}(t) = rac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) \, ds$$
 and  $f^{**}(0) = f^*(0),$ 

and finally the Lorentz space  $L(\alpha, \beta)(\Omega)$  for  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  as the space of measurable functions such that

$$\int_0^\infty \left(t^{1/lpha} f^{**}(t)
ight)^eta \; rac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

#### Lorentz data $\implies$ continuity of solutions

Let *u* be a nonnegative  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic function in  $\Omega$  and  $F_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$  in the sense of distributions. If  $F_u$  satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{1}{n}} g^{-1} \left( t^{\frac{1}{n}} F_u^{**}(t) \right) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$$

for  $\Omega_0 \Subset \Omega$ , then  $\underline{u \in C(\Omega_0)}$ .

#### Lorentz data $\implies$ continuity of solutions

Let *u* be a nonnegative  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic function in  $\Omega$  and  $F_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$  in the sense of distributions. If  $F_u$  satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{1}{n}} g^{-1} \left( t^{\frac{1}{n}} F_{u}^{**}(t) \right) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$$

for  $\Omega_0 \Subset \Omega$ , then  $\underline{u \in C(\Omega_0)}$ .

.

p-Laplace case If u is nonnegative & p-superharmonic, p > 1, and  $F_u \in L(\frac{n}{p}, \frac{1}{p-1})(\Omega)$ , then u is continuous.

#### Lorentz data $\implies$ continuity of solutions

Let *u* be a nonnegative  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic function in  $\Omega$  and  $F_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$  in the sense of distributions. If  $F_u$  satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{1}{n}} g^{-1} \left( t^{\frac{1}{n}} F_{u}^{**}(t) \right) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$$

for  $\Omega_0 \Subset \Omega$ , then  $\underline{u \in C(\Omega_0)}$ .

*p*-Laplace case If *u* is nonnegative & *p*-superharmonic, p > 1, and  $F_u \in L(\frac{n}{p}, \frac{1}{p-1})(\Omega)$ , then *u* is continuous. **Zygmund-growth operator case** If  $u \ge 0$ ,  $-\operatorname{div}(a(x)|Du|^{p-2}\log^{\alpha}(e + |Du|)Du) = F_u \ge 0$ , p > 1,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $F_u$  is as above with  $g^{-1}(\lambda) \simeq \lambda^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\log^{-\frac{\alpha}{p-1}}(e + \lambda)$ , then *u* is continuous.

16 of 35

#### Morrey data $\iff$ Hölder continuity of solutions

Consider the density condition

$$\mu_{\theta}(B(x,r)) \le cr^{n-1}g(r^{\theta-1}) \simeq r^{n-\theta}G(r^{\theta-1}). \tag{M}$$

Suppose  $u \ge 0$  is A-superharmonic and  $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ .

- If  $u \in C^{0,\theta}_{loc}(\Omega)$  with certain  $\theta \in (0,1)$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies (M).
- If μ<sub>θ</sub> satisfies (M) for some θ ∈ (0, 1), then u is locally Hölder continuous.

#### Morrey data $\iff$ Hölder continuity of solutions

Consider the density condition

$$\mu_{\theta}(B(x,r)) \le cr^{n-1}g(r^{\theta-1}) \simeq r^{n-\theta}G(r^{\theta-1}). \tag{M}$$

Suppose  $u \ge 0$  is A-superharmonic and  $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ .

- If  $u \in C^{0,\theta}_{loc}(\Omega)$  with certain  $\theta \in (0,1)$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies (M).
- If μ<sub>θ</sub> satisfies (M) for some θ ∈ (0, 1), then u is locally Hölder continuous.

*p*-Laplace case

(M) reads  $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq cr^{n-p+\theta(p-1)}$ 

**Zygmund-growth operator case** (M) reads  $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq cr^{n-p+\theta(p-1)} \log^{\alpha}(e + r^{\theta-1})$ 

## Morrey data $\iff$ Hölder continuity of solutions

Consider the density condition

$$\mu_{\theta}(B(x,r)) \le cr^{n-1}g(r^{\theta-1}) \simeq r^{n-\theta}G(r^{\theta-1}). \tag{M}$$

Suppose  $u \ge 0$  is A-superharmonic and  $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ .

- If  $u \in C^{0,\theta}_{loc}(\Omega)$  with certain  $\theta \in (0,1)$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies (M).
- If μ<sub>θ</sub> satisfies (M) for some θ ∈ (0, 1), then u is locally Hölder continuous.

*p*-Laplace case

(M) reads  $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq cr^{n-p+\theta(p-1)}$ 

#### Zygmund-growth operator case

(M) reads  $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq cr^{n-p+\theta(p-1)}\log^{\alpha}(e+r^{\theta-1})$ 

\* we provide natural Marcinkiewicz-type characterization relating to  $\mu \in L(\frac{n}{p+\theta(p-1)},\infty)(\Omega)$  for some  $\theta \in (0,1)$  implying that  $\mu$  satisfies (M) and consequently Hölder continuity of a solution.

#### Methods

for scalar equations

#### Harmonic analysis

a range of generalized harmonic tools (Maximum principle, Harnack inequality, Poisson modification) prepared for generalized Orlicz framework in [C, Zatorska-Goldstein, Generalized superharmonic functions with strongly nonlinear operator, Potential Analysis]

• Björn, Björn, Nonlinear potential theory on metric spaces, 2011

#### Wolff potential estimates

influential for our proof: Trudinger&Wang 2002, Korte&Kuusi 2010, for regularity consequences: Kuusi&Mingione 2014.

# Methods

for scalar equations

#### Harmonic analysis

a range of generalized harmonic tools (Maximum principle, Harnack inequality, Poisson modification) prepared for generalized Orlicz framework in [C, Zatorska-Goldstein, Generalized superharmonic functions with strongly nonlinear operator, Potential Analysis]

• Björn, Björn, Nonlinear potential theory on metric spaces, 2011

#### Wolff potential estimates

influential for our proof: Trudinger&Wang 2002, Korte&Kuusi 2010, for regularity consequences: Kuusi&Mingione 2014.

Important for start: reduction to continuous weak  $\mathcal{A}$ -supersolutions

# Methods for scalar equations

#### Lower bound



**Figure:**  $w_k$  is A-harmonic in the interior of the outer dashed annulus and  $w_{k+1}$  is A-harmonic in the interior of the inner dashed annulus.

picture by Arttu Karppinen

An A-supersolution generates a nonnegative measure  $\mu_{\mu} \in (W^{1,G}_{0}(B_{k}))'$  such that  $-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu_u > 0.$ Then having  $\theta_k \in C_0^{\infty}(\frac{5}{4}B_{k+1})$ such that  $\mathbb{1}_{B_{k+1}} \leq \theta_k \leq \mathbb{1}_{\frac{5}{4}B_{k+1}}$ , we set  $\mu_{W_k} := \theta_k \mu_{\mu}$  in  $B_k$ . We study properties of  $w_k \in W^{1,\varphi(\cdot)}_0(B_k)$  being a weak solution to  $-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Dw_k) = \mu_{w_k}$  in  $B_k$ .

The aim is to keep control over what happens to  $w_k$  on  $\partial_{\frac{2}{3}}^2 B_k$ .

# Methods for scalar equations

#### Upper bound



**Figure:** v is A-harmonic in  $\omega$  - the family of dashed annuli. Functions  $w_k$  are zero boundary valued in the respective thicker circles.

picture by Arttu Karppinen

Let v be a Poisson modification  $v = P(u, \omega)$ , i.e.

 $\begin{cases} v \text{ is } \mathcal{A}\text{-harmonic in } \omega, \\ v = u \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

We consider  $w_k \in W_0^{1,G}(\frac{4}{3}B_{k+1})$  solving

$$-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Dw_k) = \mu_v \quad \text{in} \quad \frac{4}{3}B_{k+1}$$

20 of 35

# What can be inferred further?

We have

$$C_L\left(\mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)-R\right) \leq u(x_0) \leq C_U\left(\inf_{B(x_0,R)} u(x) + \mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)+R\right).$$

#### What can be inferred further?

We have

$$C_L\left(\mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)-R\right) \leq u(x_0) \leq C_U\left(\inf_{B(x_0,R)} u(x) + \mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)+R\right).$$

More fancy estimates on the potential would imply more precise estimates on solutions.

work with Michał Borowski and Błażej Miasojedow

work with Michał Borowski and Błażej Miasojedow

Suppose  $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is a nondecreasing function,  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, n)$ , and

$$W_{\alpha,\psi}f(x) := \int_0^\infty r^{\alpha-1}\psi\left(r^{\alpha-n}\int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|\,dy\right)\,dr$$

work with Michał Borowski and Błażej Miasojedow

Suppose  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is a nondecreasing function,  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, n)$ , and

$$W_{\alpha,\psi}f(x) := \int_0^\infty r^{\alpha-1}\psi\left(r^{\alpha-n}\int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|\,dy\right)\,dr$$

Then there exist  $C_1=C_1(lpha,n)>0$  and  $C_2=C_2(lpha,n)>0$  such that

$$(W_{\alpha,\psi}f)^*(t) \leq C_1 \int_t^\infty s^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\psi\left(C_2s^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}f^{**}(s)\right)ds$$

if  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and  $|\{x : |f(x)| > t\}| < \infty$  for t > 0. The result is sharp, in the sense that the reverse inequality is true for any nonnegative and radially decreasing f.

work with Michał Borowski and Błażej Miasojedow

Suppose  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is a nondecreasing function,  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, n)$ , and

$$W_{\alpha,\psi}f(x) := \int_0^\infty r^{\alpha-1}\psi\left(r^{\alpha-n}\int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|\,dy\right)\,dr$$

Then there exist  $C_1=C_1(lpha,n)>0$  and  $C_2=C_2(lpha,n)>0$  such that

$$(W_{\alpha,\psi}f)^*(t) \leq C_1 \int_t^\infty s^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\psi\left(C_2s^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}f^{**}(s)\right)ds$$

if  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and  $|\{x : |f(x)| > t\}| < \infty$  for t > 0. The result is sharp, in the sense that the reverse inequality is true for any nonnegative and radially decreasing f.

#### p-case: [Cianchi, Ann SNS Pisa 2011]

Let  $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be quasi-normed rearrangement invariant spaces and  $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is nondecreasing. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

Let X(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) and Y(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) be quasi-normed rearrangement invariant spaces and h: ℝ<sub>+</sub> → ℝ<sub>+</sub> is nondecreasing. Then the following assertions are equivalent.
(i) [Boudedness] There exists a constant c > 0 such that for every f ∈ X(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) it holds that

 $||h(W_{\alpha,\psi}f)||_{Y(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c||f||_{X(\mathbb{R}^n)};$ 

Let X(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) and Y(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) be quasi-normed rearrangement invariant spaces and h: ℝ<sub>+</sub> → ℝ<sub>+</sub> is nondecreasing. Then the following assertions are equivalent.
(i) [Boudedness] There exists a constant c > 0 such that for every f ∈ X(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) it holds that

 $||h(W_{\alpha,\psi}f)||_{Y(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c||f||_{X(\mathbb{R}^n)};$ 

(ii) [1-d Hardy-type inequality] There exists a constant c > 0 such that for every nonnegative function  $\phi \in \overline{X}(0,\infty)$  it holds

$$\left\|h\left(C_1\int_t^{\infty}s^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\psi\left(s^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\int_0^s\phi(y)\,dy\right)\,ds\right)\right\|_{\overline{Y}(0,\infty)}\leq c||\phi||_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)}.$$

Let X(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) and Y(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) be quasi-normed rearrangement invariant spaces and h: ℝ<sub>+</sub> → ℝ<sub>+</sub> is nondecreasing. Then the following assertions are equivalent.
(i) [Boudedness] There exists a constant c > 0 such that for every f ∈ X(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) it holds that

 $||h(W_{\alpha,\psi}f)||_{Y(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c||f||_{X(\mathbb{R}^n)};$ 

(ii) [1-d Hardy-type inequality] There exists a constant c > 0 such that for every nonnegative function  $\phi \in \overline{X}(0,\infty)$  it holds

$$\left\|h\left(C_1\int_t^{\infty}s^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\psi\left(s^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\int_0^s\phi(y)\,dy\right)\,ds\right)\right\|_{\overline{Y}(0,\infty)}\leq c||\phi||_{\overline{X}(0,\infty)}.$$

Application: transfer regularity from data to solutions<br/>to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = f$  via potential estimatesGood choices of X, Y: Lebesgue, Orlicz (including  $L \log L$ ), Lorentz,<br/>Marcinkiewicz, Morrey, Campanato, combinations

23 of 35

obtained via similar methods together with Arttu Karppinen

It's a generalized Orlicz version where G(|Du|) is substituted by  $\varphi(x, |Du|)$ .

obtained via similar methods together with Arttu Karppinen

It's a generalized Orlicz version where G(|Du|) is substituted by  $\varphi(x, |Du|)$ . Then the relevant counterpart of condition (M) reads

$$\mu_{\theta}(B(x,r)) \leq cr^{-\theta} \int_{B(x,r)} \varphi(x,r^{\theta-1}) \, dx. \tag{Mx}$$

obtained via similar methods together with Arttu Karppinen

It's a generalized Orlicz version where G(|Du|) is substituted by  $\varphi(x, |Du|)$ . Then the relevant counterpart of condition (M) reads

$$\mu_{\theta}(B(x,r)) \leq cr^{-\theta} \int_{B(x,r)} \varphi(x,r^{\theta-1}) \, dx. \tag{Mx}$$

Morrey data  $\iff$  Hölder continuity of solutions Suppose  $u \ge 0$  is A-superharmonic and  $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ .

- If  $u \in C^{0,\theta}_{loc}(\Omega)$  with certain  $\theta \in (0,1)$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies (Mx).
- If μ<sub>θ</sub> satisfies (Mx) for some θ ∈ (0,1), then u is locally Hölder continuous.

obtained via similar methods together with Arttu Karppinen

It's a generalized Orlicz version where G(|Du|) is substituted by  $\varphi(x, |Du|)$ . Then the relevant counterpart of condition (M) reads

$$\mu_{\theta}(B(x,r)) \leq cr^{-\theta} \int_{B(x,r)} \varphi(x,r^{\theta-1}) \, dx. \tag{Mx}$$

Morrey data  $\iff$  Hölder continuity of solutions Suppose  $u \ge 0$  is A-superharmonic and  $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ .

- If  $u \in C^{0,\theta}_{loc}(\Omega)$  with certain  $\theta \in (0,1)$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies (Mx).
- If  $\mu_{\theta}$  satisfies (Mx) for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , then u is locally Hölder continuous.

See [C., De Filippis, Removable sets... '2020] and [C., Karppinen, Removable sets... '2021].

# Let's go to systems

#### **Vectorial problem**

Notion of solutions \* Solutions Obtained as a Limit of Approximation (SOLA)

A map  $u \in W^{1,1}_0(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$  such that  $\int_\Omega g(|Du|) \, dx < \infty$  is called a SOLA to

$$-\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu} \tag{S}$$

if there exists a sequence  $(\boldsymbol{u}_h) \subset W^{1,G}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  of local energy solutions to the systems

 $-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}_h) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_h$ 

such that  $\boldsymbol{u}_h \to \boldsymbol{u}$  locally in  $W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $(\boldsymbol{\mu}_h) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  is a sequence of maps that converges to  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  weakly in the sense of measures and satisfies

 $\limsup |\boldsymbol{\mu}_h|(B) \le |\boldsymbol{\mu}|(B) \qquad \text{for } B \subset \Omega.$ 

#### **Vectorial problem**

Notion of solutions \* Solutions Obtained as a Limit of Approximation (SOLA)

A map  $u \in W^{1,1}_0(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$  such that  $\int_\Omega g(|Du|) \, dx < \infty$  is called a SOLA to

$$-\mathbf{div}\mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$$
(S)

if there exists a sequence  $(\boldsymbol{u}_h) \subset W^{1,G}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  of local energy solutions to the systems

 $-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}_h) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_h$ 

such that  $\boldsymbol{u}_h \to \boldsymbol{u}$  locally in  $W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $(\boldsymbol{\mu}_h) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  is a sequence of maps that converges to  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  weakly in the sense of measures and satisfies

 $\limsup |\boldsymbol{\mu}_h|(B) \le |\boldsymbol{\mu}|(B) \quad \text{for } B \subset \Omega.$ 

'Approximable solutions' differ in regularity and assumed convergence.

#### Measure data systems with Orlicz growth 1/2 C., Youn, Zatorska–Goldstein, arXiv:2106.11639

Assume that  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is strictly monotone,  $\mathcal{A}(x, 0) = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the following conditions

 $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi): \xi \geq c_1 G(|\xi|), \qquad |\mathcal{A}(x,\xi)| \leq c_2 \left(g(|\xi|) + b(x)\right),$ 

for some  $b \in L^{\widetilde{G}}(\Omega)$ . Furthermore, we require  $\mathcal{A}$  to satisfy

$$\mathcal{A}(x,\xi): ((\mathsf{Id} - w \otimes w)\xi) \geq 0$$

for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$ , all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , and every vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $|w| \le 1$ . see [Dolzmann, Hungerbühler, and Müller, 1997-2000]

#### Measure data systems with Orlicz growth 1/2 C., Youn, Zatorska–Goldstein, arXiv:2106.11639

Assume that  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is strictly monotone,  $\mathcal{A}(x, 0) = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the following conditions

 $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi): \xi \geq c_1 G(|\xi|), \qquad |\mathcal{A}(x,\xi)| \leq c_2 \left(g(|\xi|) + b(x)\right),$ 

for some  $b \in L^{\widetilde{G}}(\Omega)$ . Furthermore, we require  $\mathcal{A}$  to satisfy

$$\mathcal{A}(x,\xi): ig((\mathsf{Id}-w\otimes w)\xiig)\geq 0$$

for a.a.  $x \in \Omega$ , all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , and every vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $|w| \le 1$ . see [Dolzmann, Hungerbühler, and Müller, 1997-2000]

We prove existence of approximable solutions to (S). Moreover

(i) If G grows so fast that  $\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dt < \infty \ (\approx p > n)$ , then any approximable solution u is a weak solution.

C., Youn, Zatorska–Goldstein, arXiv:2106.11639

(ii) If G grows so slowly that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dt = \infty$  holds, we have  $|\boldsymbol{u}| \in L^{\vartheta_n(\cdot),\infty}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad |D\boldsymbol{u}| \in L^{\theta_n(\cdot),\infty}(\Omega).$ 

C., Youn, Zatorska–Goldstein, arXiv:2106.11639

(ii) If G grows so slowly that  $\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dt = \infty$  holds, we have  $|\boldsymbol{u}| \in L^{\vartheta_n(\cdot),\infty}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad |D\boldsymbol{u}| \in L^{\theta_n(\cdot),\infty}(\Omega).$ 

(iii) Let  $\Psi_n(t) := \frac{G(t)}{H_n(t)^{n'}}$  and G grows fast enough to satisfy

<u>a</u>00

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\Psi_n(t)} < \infty \qquad \approx p > 2 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

C., Youn, Zatorska–Goldstein, arXiv:2106.11639

(ii) If G grows so slowly that  $\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dt = \infty$  holds, we have  $|\boldsymbol{u}| \in L^{\vartheta_n(\cdot),\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $|D\boldsymbol{u}| \in L^{\theta_n(\cdot),\infty}(\Omega).$ 

(iii) Let  $\Psi_n(t) := \frac{G(t)}{H_n(t)^{n'}}$  and G grows fast enough to satisfy

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\Psi_n(t)} < \infty \qquad \qquad \approx p > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$$

Then each approximable solution  $\boldsymbol{u}$  to (S) satisfies  $\boldsymbol{u} \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\int_{\Omega} g(|D\boldsymbol{u}|) dx < \infty$ , hence it is a SOLA.

C., Youn, Zatorska–Goldstein, arXiv:2106.11639

(ii) If G grows so slowly that  $\int^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dt = \infty$  holds, we have  $|\boldsymbol{u}| \in L^{\vartheta_n(\cdot),\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $|D\boldsymbol{u}| \in L^{\theta_n(\cdot),\infty}(\Omega).$ 

(iii) Let  $\Psi_n(t) := \frac{G(t)}{H_n(t)^{n'}}$  and G grows fast enough to satisfy

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\Psi_n(t)} < \infty \qquad \qquad \approx p > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$$

Then each approximable solution  $\boldsymbol{u}$  to (S) satisfies  $\boldsymbol{u} \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\int_{\Omega} g(|D\boldsymbol{u}|) dx < \infty$ , hence it is a SOLA.

# Assumptions for potential estimates

Vectorial problem

We investigate solutions  $\boldsymbol{u}:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$  to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{u} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(S)
### Assumptions for potential estimates Vectorial problem

We investigate solutions  $\boldsymbol{u}:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^m$  to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{u} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(S)

with a datum  $\mu$  being a vector-valued bounded Radon measure,

# Assumptions for potential estimates

Vectorial problem

We investigate solutions  $\boldsymbol{u}:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$  to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{u} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(S)

with a datum  $\mu$  being a vector-valued bounded Radon measure,  $G \in C^2((0,\infty)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+), g = G'$  is increasing and  $g \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ , and  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is assumed to admit a form

$$\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) = a(x)\frac{g(|\xi|)}{|\xi|}\xi,$$

with continuous weight  $a: \Omega \rightarrow [c_a, C_a], 0 < c_a < C_a$ .

Existence result was provided for more general class of problems.

## Estimates for SOLA to the vectorial problem

Theorem by C, Youn, Zatorska-Goldstein, arXiv:2102.09313

Suppose  $\boldsymbol{u}: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is a local SOLA to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$  with  $\mathcal{A}$  as prescribed, and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is bounded. Let  $B_r(x_0) \Subset \Omega$  with  $r < R_0$  for some  $R_0 = R_0(data)$ . If  $\mathcal{W}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_G(x_0, r)$  is finite, then  $x_0$  is a Lebesgue's point of  $\boldsymbol{u}$  and

$$|\boldsymbol{u}(x_0) - (\boldsymbol{u})_{B_r(x_0)}| \leq C \left( \mathcal{W}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_G(x_0, r) + \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\boldsymbol{u} - (\boldsymbol{u})_{B_r(x_0)}| \, dx \right)$$

holds for C > 0 depending only on *data*. In particular, we have the following pointwise estimate

$$|\boldsymbol{u}(x_0)| \leq C\left(\mathcal{W}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_G(x_0,r) + \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\boldsymbol{u}(x)| dx\right).$$

p-Laplace problem: [Kuusi&Mingione, JEMS 2018]

30 of 35

### Consequences 1/2

#### VMO criterion

Let **u** be a SOLA to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) = \mu$  and let  $B_r(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ . If

$$\lim_{\varrho \to 0} \varrho g^{-1} \left( \frac{|\boldsymbol{\mu}|(B_{\varrho}(x_0))}{\varrho^{n-1}} \right) = 0,$$

then  $\boldsymbol{u}$  has vanishing mean oscillations at  $x_0$ , i.e.  $\lim_{\varrho \to 0} \oint_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\boldsymbol{u} - (\boldsymbol{u})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}| \, dx = 0.$ 

## Consequences 1/2

#### VMO criterion

Let **u** be a SOLA to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) = \mu$  and let  $B_r(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ . If

$$\lim_{\varrho \to 0} \varrho g^{-1} \left( \frac{|\boldsymbol{\mu}|(B_{\varrho}(x_0))}{\varrho^{n-1}} \right) = 0,$$

then  $\boldsymbol{u}$  has vanishing mean oscillations at  $x_0$ , i.e.  $\lim_{\varrho \to 0} \oint_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\boldsymbol{u} - (\boldsymbol{u})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}| \, dx = 0.$ 

#### **Continuity criterion**

Suppose  $\boldsymbol{u}$  be a SOLA to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$  and  $B_r(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ . If  $\lim_{\varrho \to 0} \sup_{x \in B_r(x_0)} \mathcal{W}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{G}(x, \varrho) = 0$ , then  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is continuous in  $B_r(x_0)$ .

# Consequences 1/2

#### VMO criterion

Let **u** be a SOLA to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) = \mu$  and let  $B_r(x_0) \Subset \Omega$ . If

$$\lim_{\varrho \to 0} \varrho g^{-1} \left( \frac{|\boldsymbol{\mu}|(B_{\varrho}(x_0))}{\varrho^{n-1}} \right) = 0,$$

then  $\boldsymbol{u}$  has vanishing mean oscillations at  $x_0$ , i.e.  $\lim_{\varrho \to 0} \oint_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\boldsymbol{u} - (\boldsymbol{u})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}| \, dx = 0.$ 

#### **Continuity criterion**

Suppose  $\boldsymbol{u}$  be a SOLA to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$  and  $B_r(x_0) \subseteq \Omega$ . If  $\lim_{\varrho \to 0} \sup_{x \in B_r(x_0)} \mathcal{W}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_G(x, \varrho) = 0$ , then  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is continuous in  $B_r(x_0)$ .  $\implies$  any  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic map is continuous

## Consequences 2/2

the same what for the scalar equation results from an upper bound

**Lorentz data**  $\implies$  continuous solutions For  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{F}$  let  $f = |\boldsymbol{F}|$ . If  $\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{1}{n}} g^{-1}(t^{\frac{1}{n}} f^{**}(t)) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$ , then a SOLA  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is continuous.

### Consequences 2/2

the same what for the scalar equation results from an upper bound

**Lorentz data**  $\implies$  continuous solutions For  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{F}$  let  $f = |\boldsymbol{F}|$ . If  $\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{1}{n}} g^{-1}(t^{\frac{1}{n}} f^{**}(t)) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$ , then a SOLA  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is continuous.

Morrey data  $\implies$  Hölder continuous solutions If  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is a SOLA to  $-\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}$  and  $|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}|(B(x, r)) \leq cr^{n-1}g(r^{\theta-1})$ , then  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is locally Hölder continuous.

### Consequences 2/2

the same what for the scalar equation results from an upper bound

**Lorentz data**  $\implies$  continuous solutions For  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{F}$  let  $f = |\boldsymbol{F}|$ . If  $\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{1}{n}} g^{-1}(t^{\frac{1}{n}} f^{**}(t)) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$ , then a SOLA  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is continuous.

Morrey data  $\implies$  Hölder continuous solutions If  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is a SOLA to  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}$  and  $|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}|(B(x, r)) \leq cr^{n-1}g(r^{\theta-1})$ , then  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is locally Hölder continuous. + natural Marcinkiewicz-type characterization relating to  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in L(\frac{n}{p+\theta(p-1)}, \infty), \ \theta \in (0, 1)$ , implying local Hölder continuity of solutions

### Methods

for systems

### main tool: *A*-harmonic approximation lemma

the approximation of a  $W^{1,G}$ -function by an A-harmonic map for weighted operator A of an Orlicz growth being a generalized version of *p*-harmonic version from [Kuusi&Mingione, JEMS 2018]

#### **OPEN**

subquadratic case more general structure of the operator anisotropic problems

### **Off-topics**

### (1) PDEs in Anisotropic Musielak-Orlicz spaces



(2) Workshop on Nonuniformly Elliptic Problems

Warsaw, 5-9.09.2022

www.impan.pl/22-nep

34 of 35

# Thank you for your attention!